

# **Phase 2 Report**

Prepared by: URS Corporation/Jack R. Benjamin & Associates, Inc.

Prepared for: California Department of Water Resources (DWR)

June, 2011

Section 1	Intro	duction	1-1
	1.1	Purpose	1-1
		1.1.1 Assembly Bill 1200	
		1.1.2 Goals and Objectives	
	1.2	Risk Analysis Summary	
	1.3	Phase 2 Evaluation	1-3
	1.4	Project Team	1-4
		1.4.1 Project Sponsors	
		1.4.2 Steering Committee	1-4
		1.4.3 Technical Advisory Committee	1-4
		1.4.4 DRMS Consulting Team	1-5
		1.4.5 Risk Resources Group	1-6
	1.5	Relationship to Other Initiatives	1-6
		1.5.1 Delta Vision	1-6
		1.5.2 Bay-Delta Conservation Plan	1-7
		1.5.3 CALFED End of Stage 1	1-7
		1.5.4 Other Initiatives	1-8
	1.6	Report Organization	1-8
Section 2	Build	ling Blocks and Scenarios	2-1
	2.1	Building Block and scenario identification	2-1
	2.2	Building Blocks	
	2.3	Scenarios	
Section 3	Build	ling Block 1.1: Improved Delta Levee Maintenance	3-1
	3.1	Introduction	3_1
	3.1	3.1.1 Background	
		3.1.2 Purpose and Scope of Building Block	
		3.1.3 Objective and Approach	
	3.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
	3.2	3.2.1 Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	
		3.2.2 Analysis Results	
		3.2.3 Description of Values, Benefits, and Constraints	
	3.3	Cost Estimates	
	3.4	Risk Reduction Estimate	
		3.4.1 Direct Risk Reduction	
		3.4.2 Estimation of Risk Reduction	
	3.5	Findings and Conclusions	
Section 4	Build	ling Block 1.2: Upgraded Delta Levees	4-1
	4.1	Introduction	4-1
	.,,	4.1.1 Background	
		4.1.2 Purpose and Scope	
		1	

		4.1.3 Objective and Approach	4-1
	4.2	Description of Improvement	
		4.2.1 Approach	4-2
		4.2.2 Select 764 Miles of Levees for Upgrade to PL 84-99	
		Standards	4-2
		4.2.3 Select 187 Miles of Levees for Upgrade to UPL Standards	4-3
		4.2.4 Develop Parametric Upgrade Cross Sections	4-3
		4.2.5 Assign Site-Specific Parametric Upgrade Cross Sections	4-3
	4.3	Improvement Costs	4-4
		4.3.1 Approach	
		4.3.2 Review of Availability of On-Island Fill Material	4-4
		4.3.3 Parametric Cross Sections	
		4.3.4 Cost Estimates of Parametric Cross Sections	
	4.4	Risk Reduction Estimate	
		4.4.1 Flood Risk Reduction	
		4.4.2 Seismic Risk Reduction	
		4.4.3 Summary of Delta-Wide Risk Reduction Estimates	
	4.5	Findings	4-6
Section 5	Build	ling Block 1.3: Enhanced Emergency Preparedness/Response	5-1
	5.1	Introduction	5-1
		5.1.1 Background	
		5.1.2 Purpose and Scope of Building Block	
		5.1.3 Objective and Approach	
	5.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
		5.2.1 Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	
		5.2.2 Analysis Results	
		5.2.3 Description of Improvements	
	5.3	Cost Estimate	
	5.4	Risk Reduction Estimate	
	5.5	Findings and Conclusions	
		5.5.1 Findings	
		5.5.2 Conclusions and Recommendations	5-13
Section 6	Build	ling Block 1.4: Pre-Flooding of Selected Islands	6-1
	6.1	Introduction	6-1
		6.1.1 Background	6-1
		6.1.2 Purpose and Scope of Building Block	
		6.1.3 Objective and Approach	
	6.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
		6.2.1 Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	
		6.2.2 Geometric Description of Improvement	
		6.2.3 Analysis Results	
		6.2.4 Description of Values, Benefits, and Constraints	6-8



	6.3	Cost E	Estimate	6-8
		6.3.1	Capital Cost	6-8
		6.3.2	Operation Cost	6-8
	6.4	Risk R	Reduction Estimate	6-8
	6.5	Findin	gs and Conclusions	6-9
		6.5.1	Findings	
		6.5.2	Conclusions and Recommendations	
Section 7	Build	ing Block	k 1.5: Land Use Changes to Reduce Island Subsidence	7-1
	7.1	Introd	uction	7-1
		7.1.1	Background	7-1
		7.1.2	Purpose and Scope of Building Block	
		7.1.3	Objective and Approach	
		7.1.4	Selection of Sites	
	7.2		eptual Development of Improvement	
		7.2.1	Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	
		7.2.2	Analysis Results and Design Layouts (The Engineering and	
		,	Science That Demonstrates the Feasibility of the Solutions)	7-5
		7.2.3	Geometric Description of Improvement (Plates, Drawing,	
		7.2.3	Maps, Cross Sections, Etc.)	7-7
		7.2.4	Description of Values, Benefits, and Constraints	
	7.3		Estimate	
	7.5	7.3.1	Construction Considerations	
		7.3.2	Cost Estimate Tables (With Variations)	
	7.4		Reduction Estimate	
	7.4	7.4.1	Direct Risk Reduction	
		7.4.2	Potential Indirect Risk Reductions In the Context of the	/-13
		1.4.2	Scenarios	7 13
	7.5	Findin	gs and Conclusions	
	1.5		-	
		7.5.1 7.5.2	Findings Conclusions and Recommendations	
Section 8	Build	ing Block	k 1.6: Armored "Pathway" (Through-Delta Conveyance)	8-1
	8.1		uction	
		8.1.1	Background	
		8.1.2	Purpose and Scope of Building Block	
		8.1.3	Objective and Approach	
	8.2	Conce	ptual Development of Improvement	
		8.2.1	Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	8-2
		8.2.2	Analysis Results	8-3
		8.2.3	Geometric Description of Improvement	8-7
		8.2.4	Description of Benefits	8-9
	8.3	Cost E	Estimate	
		8.3.1	Item Descriptions	8-11
		8.3.2	Cost Estimate Table	

		8.3.3 Cost Resources	8-11
		8.3.4 Operation Cost	
	8.4	Risk Reduction Estimate	
	8.5	Findings, Conclusions and Recommendations	8-12
Appendix 8A	Enviro	onmental Impacts of Building Block 1.6: Armored Pathway	8A-1
	8A.1	Introduction	8A-1
		8A.1.1 Background	
		8A.1.2 Purpose and Scope of Building Block	
	8A.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
		8A.2.1 Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	
	0.4.4	8A.2.2 Analysis Results and Design Layouts	
	8A.3	Geometric Design of Improvement	
		8A.3.1 Setback Levee Vegetation Design	
	0 1 1	8A.3.2 Description of Benefits and Constraints	
	8A.4	Cost Estimate	
		8A.4.2 Cost Estimate Tables	
	8A.5	Risk Reduction Estimate	
	0H.3	8A.5.1 Discussion of Potential Risk Reduction in the Context of	0A-10
		the Scenarios	8A-10
	8A.6	Findings and Conclusions	
		8A.6.1 Findings	
		8A.6.2 Conclusions and Recomendations	
Section 9	Buildi	ng Block 1.7: Isolated Conveyance Facility Alternatives	9-1
	9.1	Introduction	9-1
		9.1.1 Background	
		9.1.2 Purpose and Scope of Building Block	
		9.1.3 Objective and Approach	
	9.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
		9.2.1 Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	
		9.2.2 Design	
	0.2	9.2.3 Operations	
	9.3	Cost Estimate	
		9.3.1 Quantities	
		9.3.3 Intake Facilities	
		9.3.4 Excavation	
		9.3.5 Canal Embankments	
		9.3.6 Bridges	
		9.3.7 Siphons and Flow Control Structures	
		9.3.8 Pumping Station	
		1 0	

		9.3.9 Right-of-Way	9-7
		9.3.10 Other Cost Considerations	
	9.4	Risk Reduction Estimate	9-8
		9.4.1 Water Quality	9-8
		9.4.2 Water Delivery Reliability	9-9
		9.4.3 Water Delivery Efficiency	9-10
		9.4.4 Operational Flexibility	9-10
		9.4.5 Ecosystem Impacts of the Isolated Conveyance Facility	9-10
		9.4.6 Potential Indirect Risk Reductions in the Context of the	
		Scenarios	
	9.5	Findings and Conclusions	9-11
Appendix 9A		stem Impacts of Building Block 1.7: Isolated Conveyance Facility	24.4
	Altern	atives	9A-1
	9A.1	Ecosystem Impacts of the Isolated Conveyance Facility	
	9A.2	Terrestrial Habitat	
	9A.3	New Wildlife Areas	
	9A.4	Waterway Obstruction	
	9A.5	Entrainment in Intake	
	9A.6	Reduction in Intake	
	9A.7	Benefits, Values, and Contstraints	
		9A.7.1 Benefits and Values	
		9A.7.2 Constraints	9A-3
Appendix 9B	Prelin	ninary Cost Estimate for 15,000 cfs Isolated Conveyance Facility	9B-1
Section 10	Buildi	ng Block 1.8: San Joaquin Bypass	10-1
	10.1	Introduction	10-1
		10.1.1 Background	10-1
		10.1.2 Scope	
		10.1.3 Purpose	
	10.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	10-2
		10.2.1 Description of Current Project Lands, Zoning, and	10.2
		Infrastructure	
		10.2.2 Description of Alternative Projects	
		10.2.3 Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	
		10.2.4 Analysis Results and Design Layouts	
	10.3	10.2.5 Geometric Description of Improvement  Cost Estimate	
	10.3	Findings and Conclusions	
	10.4	10.4.1 Risk Reduction Estimate	
		10.4.1 Risk Reduction Estimate	

Section 11	Buildi	ing Block 2.1: Raise State Highways	11-1
	11.1	Introduction	11-1
		11.1.1 Background	11-1
		11.1.2 Purpose and Scope of Building Block	11-1
		11.1.3 Objective and Approach	11-1
	11.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
		11.2.1 Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	
		11.2.2 Analysis Results and Design Layouts	11-3
		11.2.3 Geometric Description of Improvement	
		11.2.4 Description of Values, Benefits, and Constraints	
	11.3	Cost Estimate	
		11.3.1 Quantities	
		11.3.2 Material Source Analysis	
		11.3.3 Construction Considerations	
		11.3.4 Cost Estimate Tables	
	11.4	Risk Reduction Evaluation	
		11.4.1 Direct Risk Reduction	
		11.4.2 Estimation of Risk Reduction	
	11.5	Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations	11-5
Section 12	Buildi	ing Block 2.2: Armored Infrastructure Corridor	12-1
	12.1	Introduction	12-1
		12.1.1 Background	12-1
		12.1.2 Purpose and Scope of Building Block	12-1
		12.1.3 Objective and Approach	
	12.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	12-2
		12.2.1 Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	12-2
		12.2.2 Analysis Results and Design Layouts	12-3
		12.2.3 Description of Values, Benefits, and Constraints	12-4
	12.3	Cost Estimate	12-5
		12.3.1 Summary of Quantities	12-5
		12.3.2 Material Source Analysis	12-5
		12.3.3 Construction Considerations	12-5
		12.3.4 Cost Estimate Tables	12-5
	12.4	Risk Reduction Estimate	12-6
		12.4.1 Direct Risk Reduction	12-6
		12.4.2 Estimation of Risk Reduction	12-6
	12.5	Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations	12-7
Section 13		ing Block 3.1: Suisun Marsh Tidal Wetland Restoration and Managed	
	Wetla	nd Enhancements	13-1
	13.1	Introduction	
		13.1.1 Background: Suisun Marsh and Bay	
		13.1.2 Purpose and Scope of Building Block	13-2

		13.1.3 Objective and Approach	13-2
	13.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
		13.2.1 Existing Conditions	13-4
		13.2.2 Selection of Conceptual Restoration Sites	13-4
		13.2.3 Identification of Exterior Levees and Potential Breach	
		Points for Tidal Restoration	13-6
		13.2.4 Identification of Conceptual Areas for Managed Wetlands	
		Enhancement	13-6
		13.2.5 Analysis Results and Design Layouts	13-7
	13.3	Geometric Description of Improvement	
		13.6.1 Assumptions	
		13.3.1 Description of Values, Benefits, and Constraints	13-10
	13.4	Cost Estimate	
	13.5	Risk Reduction	
	13.6	Findings and Conclusions	
		13.6.1 Findings	
		13.6.2 Conclusions and Recommendations	13-17
Section 14	Duildi	ng Block 3.2: Tidal Marsh Cache Slough Restoration	1/1
Section 14	Dullul	ing Block 3.2. Tidal Marsh Cache Slough Restoration	14-1
	14.1	Introduction	14-1
		14.1.1 Background	
		14.1.2 Purpose and Scope of Building Block	
		14.1.3 Objective and Approach	
	14.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
		14.2.1 Analysis Criteria and Basis of Design	
		14.2.2 Analysis Results and Design Layouts	
		14.2.3 Description of Values, Benefits, and Constraints	
	14.3	Cost Estimate	
		14.3.1 Construction Considerations	
		14.3.2 Cost Estimate	
	14.4	Risk Reduction Estimate	
	14.5	Findings and Conclusions	
		14.5.1 Findings	
		14.5.2 Conclusions and Recommendations	14-17
Section 15	Buildi	ng Block 3.3: Install Fish Screens	15-1
	15.1	Introduction	15-1
		15.1.1 Background	
		15.1.2 Purpose and Scope	
		15.1.3 Objective	
	15.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
		15.2.1 Criteria and Basis of Design	
		15.2.2 Isolated Conveyance Intake	
		15.2.3 Banks Pumping Plant Intake	
		15.2.4 Tracy Pumping Plant Intake	

		15.2.5 Fish Screens for Irrigation Pumping	15-10
	15.3	Cost Estimate	
		15.3.1 Isolated Conveyance Intake	15-14
		15.3.2 Banks Pumping Plant Intake	15-15
		15.3.3 Tracy Pumping Plant Intake	15-15
		15.3.4 Fish Screens for Irrigation Pumping	15-15
	15.4	Risk Reduction Estimate	15-16
		15.4.1 Isolated Conveyance Intake	15-16
		15.4.2 Banks Pumping Plant Intake	15-16
		15.4.3 Tracy Pumping Plant Intake	
		15.4.4 Fish Screens for Irrigation Pumping	
	15.5	Findings and Conclusions	
		15.5.1 Findings	
		15.5.2 Conclusions and Recommendations	15-19
Section 16	Buildi	ing Block 3.4: Setback Levee to Restore Shaded Riverine Habitat	16-1
	16.1	Introduction	16-1
		16.1.1 Background	16-1
		16.1.2 Purpose and Scope	16-1
		16.1.3 Objective and Approach	16-1
	16.2	Description of Improvement	16-1
	16.3	Findings	16-2
Section 17	Buildi	ing Block 3.6: Reduce Water Exports From the Delta	17-1
	17.1	Introduction	17-1
		17.1.1 Background	17-1
		17.1.2 Purpose and Scope of This Building Block	
		17.1.3 Objective and Approach	
	17.2	Conceptual Development of Improvement	
		17.2.1 Water Use and Economic Effects	
		17.2.2 Water Operations Effects	
		17.2.3 Effects on Aquatic Species	
	17.3	Impact Estimates: Non-Breach Conditions	
		17.3.1 Urban Water Agencies	
		17.3.2 Agricultural Water Agencies	
		17.3.3 State and Federal Project Operations	
		17.3.4 Aquatic Ecosystem Impacts	
	17.4	Risk Reduction Estimate	
		17.4.1 Urban Water Agencies	
		17.4.2 Agricultural Water Users	
		17.4.3 Aquatic Ecosystem	17-12
	_		
	17.5	Findings and Conclusions	
	17.5	Findings and Conclusions	17-12

Section 18	Scenario Evaluation	18-1
	18.1 Scenario Evaluation	18-1
	18.2 Trial Scenario 1: Improved Levees	
	18.2.1 Overview of Trial Scenario 1	
	18.2.2 Evaluation of Trial Scenario 1	
	18.3 Trial Scenario 2: Through-Delta Conveyance (Armored Pathway) 18.3.1 Overview of Trial Scenario 2	18-5
	18.3.1 Overview of Trial Scenario 2	
	18.4 Trial Scenario 3: Isolated Conveynace Facility	
	18.4.1 Overview of Trial Scenario 3	
	18.4.2 Evaluation of Trial Scenario 3	18-7
	18.5 Trial Scenario 4: Dual Conveynace	
	18.5.1 Overview of Trial Scenario 4	
	18.5.2 Evaluation of Trial Scenario 4	18-8
Section 19	Results and Observations	19-1
	19.1 Summary of Key Findings of Building Blocks	19-1
	19.2 Evaluation of Building Blocks	
	19.3 Summary of Key Findings of the Scenarios	
	19.4 Conclusions	19-13
Section 20	Assumptions and Limitations	20-1
Section 21	References	21-1
Tables		
2-1	Summary of Building Blocks	
2-2	Summary of Building Blocks and Scenarios	
4-1	Summary of Suitable Materials	
4-2	Summary of Cost Estimate for PL 84-99 Upgrade – Option 1	
4-3	Summary of Cost Estimate for Urban Project Levee Upgrade	
4-4a	Summary of Cost Estimate for Setback Levee – Option 1	
4-4b	Summary of Cost Estimate for Setback Levee – Option 2	
5-1	Production Rates by Time (SRRQ and Others, Marine-Based)	
5-2	Enhanced Emergency Preparedness/Response Budgets	
6-1	Comparison of Primary Semi-Diurnal (M <sub>2</sub> ) and Diurnal (K <sub>1</sub> ) Tidal Constitu	ents
7-1	Short-Listed Islands for Carbon Sequestration Where Subsidence and Level Fragility Are Greatest	e
7-2	Potential Islands for Carbon Sequestration (Where Subsidence and Levee Fragility are Greatest and Asset Values are Minimized)	
7-3	Islands Selected for Assessment	

7-4	Agricultural Land Use on Potential Islands for Carbon Sequestration
7-5	Infrastructure Assets on Potential Islands for Carbon Sequestration
7-6	Estimated Earthwork Required for Each Island Assuming an Overall 2-Foot Variation in Elevation
7-7	Carbon Credits Generated at Equilibrium for Short-Listed Delta Islands
7-8	Revenue Earned Through the Production of Carbon Credits
7-9	Estimated Net Value of Agricultural Production (\$/acre)
7-10	Estimated Value of Agricultural Production Foregone
7-11	Conceptual-Level Construction Cost Estimate for Short-Listed Islands
7-12	Operation and Maintenance Cost Estimate for Short-Listed Islands
7-13	Change in Subsidence with Carbon Sequestration
7-14	Island Subsidence With and Without Carbon Sequestration
8-1	Alignment Existing Features
8-2	Preliminary Cross-Section Dimensions
8-3	Improved Levee Locations and Lengths
8-4	Cost Estimate for Building Block 1.6, Armored Pathway Through Delta Conveyance
8A-1	Listed Riparian Species Depicted in Figures 8A-3 and 8A-4
8A-2	Vegetated Levee Planting Schedule
8A-3	Summary Cost Estimate for Self-Mitigating Vegetating of Set-back Levees
8A-4	Summary Cost Estimate for Simple Design for Vegetating Set-back Levees
9-1	Summary Cost of a 15,000 cfs ICF
9-2	Summary of Costs of the ICF Alternatives
9A-1	Terrestrial Listed Species Located Within the Vicinity fo the Isolated Conveyance Facility
9A-2 9A-3	Fish Species in the Vicinity of the Isolated Conveyance Facility Intake Chinook Salmon Uses of Waters Affected by Isolated Conveyance Facility by ESU
9A-4	Chinook Salmon Seasonal Uses by ESU
9A-5	Fish Species Found in State Water Project Salvage
10-1	Current Linear Infrastructure
10-2	Current Nonlinear Infrastructure
10-3	San Joaquin River Flood Stages
10-4	San Joaquin River Historic Crests at Vernalis Station
10-5	Bypass Detention Capacities
10-6	Water Surface Elevations
10-7	Project Impacts
10-8	Sensitive Species
10-9	Invasive Fish Species



10.10	
10-10	Cost Estimates
11-1	Summary of Cost Estimates
12-1	Summary of Quantities
12-2	Armored Infrastructure Corridor: Cost Estimate Summary
13-1	List of Special Status Sensitive Species in Suisun Marsh
13-2	Key Agencies and Groups Managing Suisun Marsh
13-3	Significant Policies Affecting Marsh Actions
13-4	Suisun Marsh Existing Habitat Composition by Planning Region
13-5	Target Acreages for Tidal Restoration
13-6	Total Area and Levee Lengths for Conceptual Restoration Areas
13-7	Total Area and Planned Improvements in Conceptual Managed Wetland Enhancement Areas
13-8	Tidal Datum for Montezuma Slough at Suisun Slough Cut
13-9	Existing Elevations (LiDAR 2005)
13-10	Conceptual-Level Capital Costs of Building Block 3.1:Suisun Marsh Tidal Wetland Restoration
13B-1	Infrastructure and Assets in Suisun Marsh
13C-1	Levee Breach Cost Calculations
13C-2 14-1	Suisun Marsh Levee Specifications Standard  Posteration Sites Within Adiabing or Nearby the Restoration Area <sup>a</sup>
14-1	Restoration Sites Within, Adjoining, or Nearby the Restoration Area <sup>a</sup> Restored Areas in the Yolo Bypass
14-3	Estimated Net Value of Agricultural Production (\$/acre)
14-4	Estimated Value of Agricultural Production Foregone
14-5	Conceptual-Level Cost Estimate Summary for the Tidal Marsh Cache Slough Restoration Alternative
14A-1	Data Sources, Methods, and Major Finding of Delta Smelt Sampling in the Cache Slough Area
14A-2	Presence of Delta Smelt Adult Life Stages in the Northern San Francisco Estuary (Spring Kodiak Trawl)
14A-3	Presence of Larval Delta Smelt as a percent of samples in Regions in the Northern San Francisco Estuary
14B-1	Listed Species in the Cache Slough Area
14B-2	Special Status Species in the Jepson Prairie-Prospect Island Corridor
14D-1	Area of Agricultural Land Use in the Cache Slough Restoration Area
14D-2	Value of Agricultural Production
14D-3	Assets and Total Asset Values in the Cache Slough Restoration Area
14E-1	Bird Species at Liberty Island (2005)



14E-2	Fish Captured at Liberty Island (2005)
17-1	Effects on Urban and Agricultural Agencies of a Permanent Reduction in Export Supplies
17-2	Summary of Combined Water Exports (acre-feet) at the SWP and CVP Facilities Assumed in the Fish Salvage Estimates
17-3	Summary of the Change in Fish Salvage in Response to Reductions in SWP and CVP Exports
18-1	Scenario 1 Building Blocks
18-2a	Percent Reduction in Economic Costs and Impacts from Base Case under Seismic Events
18-2b	Percent Reduction in Economic Costs and Impacts from Base Case under Seismic Events
18-3	Percent Reduction in Economic Costs and Impacts from Base Case under Flood Events
18-4	Capital Costs of Implementation for Different Trial Scenarios
18-5	Risk Growth Factors for 2050 and 2100
18-6	Summary of Costs and Benefits of Trial Scenarios
18-7	Building Blocks for Trial Scenario 2
18-8	Scenario 3 – Isolated Conveyance Facility
18-9	Scenario 4 – Dual Conveyance
19-1	Building Block Evaluation Summary
19-2	Scenario Evaluation Summary
Figures	
1-1	Program Functional Organization
3-1	Building Block 1.1: Improved Levee Maintenance
3-2	Levee Failure Rate
4-1	Building Block 1.2: Upgraded Delta Levees Upgrade 764 miles of Delta Levees to PL 84-99 Standards
4-2	Building Block 1.2: Upgraded Delta Levees Upgrade 187 miles of Delta Levees to Urban Project Levee Standards
4-3	Typical Cross Section PL 84-99 Standards
4-4	Typical Cross Section Urban Project Levee (UPL) Standards
4-5a-e	Parametric Cross Section PL 84-99 Standards
4-6a-e	Parametric Cross Section Urban Project Levee (UPL) Standards



5-1	Potential Delta Area Emergency Materials Stockpile and Transshipment Sites
5-2	Building Block 1.3 Enhanced Emergency Preparedness/Response
5-3	Material Supply Rates by Time after Event (SRRQ and Others, Marine-Based)
5-4	South Delta Pathway Levees, Adjoining Channel Barriers and North Delta Channel Closures
6-1	Building Block 1.4 Pre-Flooding of Selected Western Islands
6-2	Set 1: Western islands – Sherman, Jersey, Bradford, Twitchell, Brannon-Andrus
6-3	Set 2: Eastern Islands - Venice, Mandeville, MacDonald, Jones, Bouldin
6-4	Set 3: Southern Islands - Palm-Orwood, Bacon, Woodruff, Jones, Victoria
6-5	Set 4: 20 Islands
6-6	RMA Bay Delta Model Finite Element Geometry with 20 Delta Islands
6-7	RMA Bay Delta Model Island Breach Locations and Lengths
6-8	Total Inflow, Total Export, and Net Delta Outflow estimate from Dayflow
6-9	Delta Monitoring Stations for comparison of computed and observed EC
6-10	Comparison of Observed and Simulated Base Case tidally averaged EC at RSAC075 (Chipps Island)
6-11	Comparison of Observed and Simulated Base Case tidally averaged EC at RSAN018 (Jersey Point)
6-12	Comparison of Observed and Simulated Base Case tidally averaged EC at RSAN032
6-13	Comparison of Observed and Simulated Base Case tidally averaged EC at ROLD024
6-14	Comparison of Observed and Simulated Base Case tidally averaged EC at SWP Intake
6-15	M2 and K1 Amplitude, Base Case
6-16	M2 and K1 Amplitude, Set 1: Western Islands
6-17	M2 and K1 Amplitude, Set 3: Eastern Islands
6-18	M2 and K1 Amplitude, Set 3: Southern Islands
6-19	M2 and K1 Amplitude, Set 4: 20 Islands
6-20	Tidal Flow at Martinez
6-21	Tidal Flow at Chipps Island
6-22	EC Time Series Locations for Comparison of Pre-Flooded Island Sets
6-23	Comparison of Instantaneous and Tidally Averaged EC Time Series for Pre-Flooded Island Sets at RSAC075
6-24	Comparison of Instantaneous and Tidally Averaged EC Time Series for Pre- Flooded Island Sets at RSAN018

6-25	Comparison of Instantaneous and Tidally Averaged EC Time Series for Pre-Flooded Island Sets at RSAN032
6-26	Comparison of Instantaneous and Tidally Averaged EC Time Series for Pre-Flooded Island Sets at ROLD024
6-27	Comparison of Instantaneous and Tidally Averaged EC Time Series for Pre-Flooded Island Sets at RMID015
6-28	Comparison of Instantaneous and Tidally Averaged EC Time Series for Pre- Flooded Island Sets at State Water Project Intake
6-29	EC Contours on October 31, 2002 and May 31, 2003 – Base Simulation
6-30	EC Contours on October 31, 2002 and May 31, 2003 – Set 1: Western Islands
6-31	EC Contours on October 31, 2002 and May 31, 2003 – Set 2: Eastern Islands
6-32	EC Contours on October 31, 2002 and May 31, 2003 – Set 3: Southern Islands
6-33	EC Contours on October 31, 2002 and May 31, 2003 – Set 4: 20 Islands
6-34	Comparison of Tidally Averaged EC for Pre-Flooded Island Set 1 with varying Net Delta Outflow at RSAC075
6-35	Comparison of Tidally Averaged EC for Pre-Flooded Island Set 1 with varying Net Delta Outflow at RSAN018
6-36	Comparison of Tidally Averaged EC for Pre-Flooded Island Set 1 with varying Net Delta Outflow at RSAN032
6-37	Comparison of Tidally Averaged EC for Pre-Flooded Island Set 1 with varying Net Delta Outflow at ROLD024
6-38	Comparison of Tidally Averaged EC for Pre-Flooded Island Set 1 with varying Net Delta Outflow at RMID015
6-39	Comparison of Tidally Averaged EC for Pre-Flooded Island Set 1 with varying Net Delta Outflow at State Water Project Intake
6-40	CALSIM Base Net Delta Outflow and Total Exports for Breach Event Start Times
6-41	Number of Days to Resume Export Pumping, 20-Island Levee Failure Event
6-42	Export Deficit when Pumping is Resumed, 20-Island Levee Failure Event
7-1	Building Block 1.5: Land Use Changes to Reduce Island Subsidence
7-2	Predicted subsidence in the Delta for 2100
7-3	Carbon accumulation over time in a continuously flooded wetland
7-4	Biochemical Processes in Wetlands
7-5	Decomposition of organic matter under aerobic and anaerobic (flooded) conditions
8-1	Building Block 1.6: Armored Pathway" Through Delta Conveyance
8-2	Historical Flows in the Sacramento River



8-3	Seismic-Resistant Setback Levees
8-4	Location Map of Proposed Intake Facility
8-5	Redlands Canal Fish Screen (Courtesy USFWS)
8-6	Redlands Canal Fish Screen (Courtesy USFWS)
8-7	Delta Cross Channel Radial Arm Gates (Courtesy USBR)
8-8	Preliminary Corridor Cross Section
8-9	Inflatable Barrier Gate
8A-1	Historical Distribution of Riparian Vegetation
8A-2	Riparian Zone Habitats Utilized by Bird Species
8A-3a	Species Whose Populations Would Be Connected by Setback Levee Corridor: Plants
8A-3b	Species Whose Populations Would Be Connected by Setback Levee Corridor: Wildlife
8A-4a	Species Whose Habitat Area Would Be Increased by Setback Levee Corridor: Plants
8A-4b	Species Whose Habitat Area Would Be Increased by Setback Levee Corridor: Wildlife
9-1	Building Block1.7: Isolated Conveyance Facility Alternatives
9-2	Isolated Conveyance Facility Routes 1 and 2
9-3	Isolated Conveyance Facility Route 2 Profile – Midstream
9-4	Historical Flows in the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers
9-5	Soils in the North Delta
9-6	Soils in the South Delta
9-7	Thickness of Organics
9-8	Canal Cross Section
9-9	Typical Siphon Plan and Profile
9A-1	Isolated Conveyance Facility Impacts to Ecosystem
10-1	Building Block 1.8: San Joaquin Bypass (Alt 1)
10-2	Building Block 1.8: San Joaquin Bypass (Alt 2)
10-3	San Joaquin Bypass: Alternative 1, Detention & Bypass
10-4	San Joaquin Bypass: Alternative 2, 0.5-mile widening
10-5	River Cross Section Locations
10-6	Comparison of Current to Widened Cross Section (Mean Higher High Water Indicative of Potential Floodplain Habitat Type)
10-7	Water Surface Elevation in the San Joaquin River for San Joaquin River Bypass Alternatives for January 1997 Storm event



10-8	Water Surface Elevation in the San Joaquin River for San Joaquin River Bypass Alternatives for April 2006 Storm Event
10-9	Water Surface Elevation in the San Joaquin River for San Joaquin River Bypass Alternatives for February 1998 Storm Event
10-10	Water Surface Elevation in the San Joaquin River for San Joaquin River Bypass Alternatives for January 1969 Storm Event
11-1	Building Block 2,1: Raise State Highways
11-2	Limits of Improvements on SR 4, SR 12, and SR 160
11-3	Layout of Realigned SR 160
11-4	Photo of I-80 at Yolo Bypass
11-5	Typical Cross Section of the Elevated Structure
11-6	Photos of Drawbridges in the Sacramento Delta
12-1	Building Block 2.2, Armored Infrastructure Corridor
12-2	Existing Infrastructure and Limits of New Levees
12-3a	Layout of Armored Infrastructure Corridor, Option 1
12-3b	Layout of Armored Infrastructure Corridor, Option 2
12-4a	Typical Cross Section of Armored Infrastructure Corridor, Option 1
12-4b	Typical Cross Section of Armored Infrastructure Corridor, Option 2
13-1	Building Block 3.1: Tidal Wetlands Restoration and Managed Wetland Enhancements in Suisun Marsh
13-2	Suisun Marsh Region
13-3	Historic Map Suisun Bay region (circa 1902-1908)
13-4	Suisun Marsh Region Existing Conditions
13-5	Suisun Marsh Planning Regions
13-6	Water Control Structures in Suisun Marsh
13-7	Areas in Suisun Marsh Flooded by Screened Diversions
13-8	Location of Abandoned, New and Upgraded Levees in Conceptual Restoration Areas
13-9	Location of Abandoned, New and Upgraded Levees in Conceptual Managed Wetland Enhancement Areas
13A-1	Parametric Cross Section Suisun Marsh Levee Specification – Levee Upgrade
13A-2	Parametric Cross Section Suisun Marsh Levee Specification – New Levee
14-1	Building Block 3.2: Tidal Marsh Cache Slough Restoration Alternative
14-2	Cache Slough and Yolo Bypass (1903-1910)
14-3	Tidal Marsh Cache Slough Restoration Alternative Project Area
14A-1	Spring Kodiak Trawl Sampling Stations
14A-2	20-mm Survey Sampling Stations
14C-1	Yolo Bypass
15-1	Building Block 3.3: Install Fish Screens

16-1	Building Block 3
17-1	Building Block 3.6: Reduce Water Exports from the Delta
17-2a	SWP and CVP Exports WY 2000 - Above Normal Year Preceded by Wet Year
17-2b	SWP and CVP Exports WY 1994 – Critical Year Preceded by Above Normal Year
17-3a	Monthly Water Exports with Reductions – Normal or Wet Years – Water Year 2000 as Example (Above Normal Year Preceded by Wet Year)
17-3b	Monthly Water Exports with Reductions – Dry or Critical Years – Water Year 1994 as Example (Critical Year Preceded by Above Normal Year
17-4a	Percent Reduction in Fish Salvage for Various Reductions in Delta Exports Wet or Normal Year – Water Year 2000 as an Example
17-4b	Percent Reduction in Fish Salvage for Various Reductions in Delta Exports Dry or Critical Year – Water Year 1994 as an Example
18-1	Trial Scenario 1 – Improved Levees
18-2	Expected Economic Costs of Trial Scenarios at Different Years
18-3	Expected Economic Impacts (Value of Lost Output) of Trial Scenarios at Different Years
18-4	Scenario 2 Through-Delta Conveyance
18-5 18-6	Trial Scenario 3 – Isolated Conveyance Facility Trial Scenario 4 – Dual Conveyance
Appendices	
5A	Combination Sheet Pile Wall Examples
5B	Channel Barrier Concepts
8A	Environmental Impact of Armored Pathway
9A	Ecosystem Impacts of Building Block 1.7: Isolated Conveyance Facility Alternatives
9B	Preliminary Cost Estimate for 15,000 cfs Isolated Conveyance Facility
11A	Comparative Bridge Costs
13A	Levee Cross Sections: Suisun Marsh Levee Specifications
13B	Value of Infrastructure and Assets in Conceptual Restoration Areas
13C	Cost Calculations
14A	Delta Smelt in the Cache Slough Area
14B	Listed Species in the Cache Slough Area
14C	Function of the Yolo Bypass
14D	Assets in the Cache Slough Area
14E	Species Found at Liberty Island (2005)



#### **Attachments**

8A-1 Riparian Plants for Birds

**Boxes** 

13-1 Suisun Marsh Tidal Wetland Restoration and Managed Wetland Enhancement

**Building Block Flashcard** 

Goals of the Habitat Management, Preservation, and Restoration Plan for the

Suisun Marsh

**Acronyms** 

ACOE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

BAU business as usual

BCDC San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission.

BDCP Bay Delta Conservation Plan

BNSF Burlington National Santa Fe

BREACH Sacramento/San Joaquin Delta Breached Levee Wetland Study

CALFED California Bay-Delta Authority

CALSIM California Water Resources Simulation Model

CALVIN California Value Integrated Network Model

CCF Clifton Court Forebay

CDFG California Department of Fish and Game

CE Conservation Easement

CESA California State Endangered Species Act

cfs cubic feet per second

CH<sub>4</sub> methane

CNDDB California Natural Diversity Database

CNLM Center for Natural Lands Management

CNPS California Native Plant Society

CO<sub>2</sub> carbon dioxide

CO<sub>2</sub>e carbon dioxide equivalents

CSC Candidate Species of Concern

CVJV Central Valley Joint Venture

CVP Central Valley Project

cy cubic yard

DCC Delta Cross Channel

DICU Delta Island Consumptive Use

DMC Delta-Mendota Canal DOC dissolved organic carbon

DOM dissolved organic material

DP shrub container size

DPS distinct population segment

DRMS Delta Risk Management Strategy

DWR California Department of Water Resources

DWSC Sacramento River Deep-Water Ship Channel

ea each

EBMUD East Bay Municipal Utilities District

EC electrical conductivity

ECAT Environmental Coordination and Advisory Team

EFH Essential Fish Habitat

EOP Emergency Operation Plan

ERP Ecosystem Restoration Program

ERPP Ecosystem Restoration Program Plan

ER&R Emergency Response and Repair

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency

FESA Federal Endangered Species Act

FIRM Federal Insurance Rate Map

fps feet per second

ft foot (feet)

GHG greenhouse gases

GIS Geographic Information System

H:V horizontal to vertical ratio

Hg mercury

HMP Hazard Mitigation ProgramHRG Habitat Restoration Group

I-5 Interstate 5

ICF Isolated Conveyance Facility

IEP Interagency Ecological Program

**IFSAR** Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar

**JESFF** J. E. Skinner Fish Facility

Jones and Stokes, Inc. JS

**JSA** Jones and Stokes Associates, Inc.

kilogram kg kV kilovolt

LiDAR Light Detecting and Ranging

MAF million acre-feet Marsh Suisun Marsh MeHg methylmercury

**MHHW** mean higher high water mean lower low water **MLLW** 

millimeters mm

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California **MWD** 

NAVD88 North American Vertical Datum of 1988

**NAWMP** North American Waterfowl Management Plan

**NBA** North Bay Aqueduct

**NDNWR** North Delta National Wildlife Refuge

NDO Net Delta Outflow

**NMFS** National Marine Fisheries Service

 $NO_2$ nitrous oxide

**NOAA** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NPV net present value

Natural Resources Conservation Service (a division of the USDA) NRCS

O.C. On Center – refers to distances along the length of the river.

Office of the Governor of the State of California **OGSC** 

O&M operation and maintenance

PC/PS pre-cast, pre-stressed

PEIR/EIS Programmatic Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement

**PFC** Pacific Flyway Council

Pacific Gas and Electric Company PG&E

PL Public Law

PRBO Point Reyes Bird Observatory

PWA Philip Williams and Associates, Ltd.

RMA Resource Management Associates

SAV submerged aquatic vegetation

SEMS Standardized Emergency Response System

SLT Solano Land Trust

SMPA Suisun Marsh Preservation Agreement

SOD south of Delta

SPT Standard Penetration Test
SRA Shaded Riverine Habitat

SRCD Suisun Resource Conservation District

SRRQ San Rafael Rock Quarry

SWP State Water Project

SWRCB State Water Resources Control Board

TFF Tracy Fish Facility

TOC total organic content

TPL Trust for Public Lands

UCS Uniform Classification System

umhos/cm micro-mhos per centimeter

UPL Urban Project Levees

URS URS Corporation

USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

USBR U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

USDA United States Department of Agriculture

USFWS U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

XS cross section

WAM Water Analysis Module

WGI Washington Group International

WRP Wetland Reserve Program

WSE water surface elevation
YBF Yolo Basin Foundation

YBWG Yolo Bypass Working Group

#### **Definitions**

### **Listed Species**

A species which is a species which is listed as Federally Endangered, Federally Threatened, Federal Candidate species, California State Endangered, California State Threatened, California State Rare, California Department of Fish and Game (DFG) Species of Concern, California Native Plant Society Species Rare/Endangered in CA or elsewhere, California Native Plant Society Species Rare/Endangered in California and more common elsewhere.

### **Federally Listed Species**

Under the Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA), the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Commerce jointly have the authority to list a species as endangered or threatened. FESA defines "endangered" species as any species in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range. A "threatened" species is any species that is likely to become an "endangered" species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range. Additional special-status species include "candidate" species and "species of concern." "Candidate" species are those for which the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) has enough information on file to propose listing as endangered or threatened. "Species of concern" are those for which listing is possibly appropriate but for which the USFWS lacks sufficient information to support a listing proposal. A species that has been "delisted" is one whose population has met its recovery goal target and is no longer in jeopardy of extinction.

### **California State Listed Species**

Section 2080 of the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) prohibits the "take" of state-listed threatened and endangered species. The CESA defines take as any action or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill any listed species. If a proposed project may result in "take" of a listed species, a permit pursuant to Section 2080 of CESA is required from the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). Take of state-listed species is authorized through Section 2081 through a permit process. Take can also be authorized through Section 2835 with an approved Natural Community Conservation Plan.

#### **DFG**

The DFG also designates "fully protected" or "protected" species as those that may not be taken or possessed without a permit from the Fish and Game Commission and/or the DFG. Species designated as fully protected or protected may or may not be listed as endangered or threatened.

#### **Additional Protection:**

### **Migratory Birds**

The federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 USC §703, Supp. I, 1989) prohibits killing, possessing, or trading in migratory birds except in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior. This act encompasses whole birds, parts of birds, bird nests, and eggs. Disturbance during the breeding season could result in the incidental loss of fertile eggs or nestlings, or otherwise lead to nest abandonment, and violate the Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

#### Wetlands

Executive Order 11990 requires federal agencies to take action to minimize the destruction or modification of wetlands by considering both direct and indirect impacts to wetlands that may result from federally funded actions.

#### **Invasive Species**

Under Executive Order 13112, projects that occur on federal lands or are federally funded must "subject to the availability of appropriations, and within administration budgetary limits," use relevant programs and authorities to (i) prevent the introduction of invasive species; (ii) detect and respond rapidly to, and control, populations of such species in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner; (iii) monitor invasive species populations accurately and reliably; and (iv) provide for restoration of native species and habitat conditions in ecosystems that have been invaded."